UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case (For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Javier Basteril-Gutieres

Case Number: 2:14CR02188-001JB

USM Number: 46916-051

Defense Attorney: Angela Arellanes, Appointed

THE DEFENDANT:			
•	iolations of condition(s) MC of the tertion of condition(s) after denial of gui	•	
The defendant is adjudi	cated guilty of these violations:		
Violation Natur Number	e of Violation		Violation Ended
Mandatory The defendant committed another federal, state Condition		ate, or local crime.	05/17/2014
The defendant is senten Reform Act of 1984.	ced as provided in pages 1 through 3 of	f this judgment. The senten	ce is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing
☐ The defendant has	not violated condition(s) and is disch	arged as to such violation(s	8).
name, residence, or mai	ling address until all fines, restitution, o	costs, and special assessme	r this district within 30 days of any change of nts imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If f material changes in economic circumstances.
None		October 2, 2014	
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition of Judgment	
1970		/s/ James O. Browning	
Defendant's Year of Birth Ciudad Juarez, CU		Signature of Judge	
		Digitature of Judge	
City and State of Defer		Honorable James O United States Distri	•
	ndant's Residence	Honorable James O	ct Judge
	dant's Residence	Honorable James O United States Distri	ct Judge

Case 2:14-cr-02188-JB Document 10 Filed 10/22/14 Page 2 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Javier Basteril-Gutieres Case Number: 2:14CR02188-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 18 months.

One month of said term shall run consecutively and 17 months of said term shall run concurrently to the sentence imposed in District of New Mexico, Case No. 2:14CR02139-010 JB.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 18 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

×	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	Big Spring Federal Correctional Institution, Big Spring, Texas, if eligible
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
	RETURN
I have	e executed this judgment as follows:
	ndant delivered ontotothis judgment.
	LINITED STATES MADSHAI
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Ву

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL